## RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1 2 3	<b>9</b> 9	CLECTION COMMISSION 9 E Street, N.W. nington, D.C. 20463	2014 SEP -8 PM 5: 06
5	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		CELA
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MUR: 6785 DATE COMPLAINT FILE DATE OF NOTIFICATION LAST RESPONSE RECENTED DATE ACTIVATED: June	N: February 26, 2014 VED: April 18, 2014
		ELECTION CYCLE: 2014 EXPIRATION OF SOL: Ju	
17 18	COMPLAINANT:	Scott Kalota	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	RESPONDENTS:	Kwasman for Congress and official capacity as treasur Adam C. Kwasman	
	RELEVANT STATUTES AND REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30101(2) <sup>1</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1) 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a) 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(2)(B) 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) 52 U.S.C. § 30120 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) 11 C.F.R. § 100.72 11 C.F.R. § 100.131 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) 11 C.F.R. § 110.11	
35 36	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports	
37	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
38	I. INTRODUCTION		
39	This matter involves allegations that Adam C. Kwasman, an Arizona state representative		
40	and 2014 Congressional candidate, violated the Act by filing his Statement of Candidacy late,		
41	and that his principal campaign committee	ee, Kwasman for Congress, viol	ated the Act by filing its

On September 1, 2014, the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), was transferred from Title 2 of the United States Code to new Title 52 of the United States Code.

- 1 Statement of Organization and 2013 Year-End Report late, accepting excessive contributions,
- 2 using assets from Kwasman's state campaign to support his federal campaign, and including
- 3 improper disclaimers on campaign materials.
- 4 Respondents argue that the Commission should dismiss the Complaint because it either
- 5 fails to allege violations of the Act or Commission regulations, alleges only minor violations, or
- 6 alleges violations that will be addressed by the Commission's Reports Analysis Division
- 7 ("RAD") and Administrative Fines Program. We recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 8 allegations and caution the Respondents regarding their disclosure obligations. See Heckler v.
- 9 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

## 10 II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

## A. Statement of Candidacy and Statement of Organization

- 12 Adam C. Kwasman is an Arizona state representative and was a 2014 candidate for the
- 13 Republican nomination in Arizona's First Congressional District.<sup>2</sup> On July 8, 2013, Kwasman
- 14 announced that he was forming an exploratory committee for a potential Congressional
- candidacy, Resp. at 1 (Apr. 18, 2014). He publicly declared his candidacy on October 21, 2013,
- and filed a Statement of Candidacy with the Commission on November 8, 2013, designating
- 17 Kwasman for Congress (the "Committee") as his principal campaign committee. The
- 18 Committee filed its Statement of Organization on December 9, 2013. Bret Summers is treasurer
- 19 of the Committee.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Kwasman lost the August 26, 2014 primary election.

Adam C. Kwasman was designated as the treasurer of the Committee when it filed its Statement of Organization and he remained treasurer during the events at issue in this matter. On August 14, 2014, the Committee amended its Statement of Organization to designate Bret Summers as treasurer.

1 The Complaint alleges that Kwasman filed his Statement of Candidacy late. Compl. at 1 2 (Feb. 19, 2014). The Complaint argues that: (1) Kwasman conducted campaign activity over a 3 protracted period of time by making statements referring to himself as a candidate and using public political advertising to announce his intention to run for Congress, without filing a 5 Statement of Candidacy; (2) Kwasman failed to file a Statement of Candidacy after raising over 6 \$5,000; and (3) even if Kwasman did not become a candidate until his public announcement on 7 October 21, 2013, Kwasman still filed his Statement of Candidacy four days late. Id. at 1-3. The 8 Complaint also alleges that the Committee's Statement of Organization was filed late. Id. at 2. 9 The Response asserts that the Complaint fails to identify any specific statements, 10 advertisements, or events indicating that Kwasman became a candidate before he publicly 11 declared his candidacy. Resp. at 1. The Response also contends that the four months that 12 Kwasman tested the waters was not a "protracted period of time." Id. at 1-2. Further, the 13 Response asserts that Kwasman was not required to file his Statement of Candidacy once he 14 raised \$5,000 because the Commission has stated that an individual can raise and spend more 15 than \$5,000 without registering and reporting, so long as his or her activities are confined to the 16 scope of the testing-the-waters exemptions. Id. at 3. Finally, the Response contends that the late 17 filings do not warrant Commission investigation because they did not cross a reporting period 18 and did not frustrate the public's disclosure interest. Resp. at 2-3. 19 Under the Act, an individual must file a Statement of Candidacy within fifteen days of 20 becoming a candidate, that designates the candidate's principal campaign committee. 52 U.S.C. 21 § 30102(e)(1) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 432(e)(1)); see also 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a). The principal 22 campaign committee must file a Statement of Organization no later than ten days after the 23 candidate's designation. 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 433(a)).

1 An individual becomes a "candidate" when he or she receives or makes in excess of 2 \$5,000 in contributions or expenditures. 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 431(2)). 3 However, the Commission's regulations provide that the terms "contribution" and "expenditure" 4 do not include funds or payments made solely to determine whether an individual should become 5 a candidate. 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a); 100.131(a). Permissible "testing the waters" activities 6 include, but are not limited to, conducting polls, making telephone calls, and traveling. Id. So long as an individual is "testing the waters," he or she is not required to file a Statement of 7 8 Candidacy with the Commission. When an individual raises or spends more than \$5,000 and 9 engages in activities indicating that he or she has decided to run for a particular office, the 10 "testing the waters" exemption is no longer available. Examples of activities that indicate that an 11 individual has decided to become a candidate include, but are not limited to: (1) the individual 12 uses general public political advertising to publicize his or her intention to campaign for federal 13 office; (2) the individual raises funds in excess of what could reasonably be expected to be used 14 for exploratory activities, or undertakes activities designed to amass campaign funds that would 15 be spent after he or she becomes a candidate; (3) the individual makes or authorizes written or 16 oral statements that refer to him or her as a candidate for a particular office; (4) the individual 17 conducts activities in close proximity to the election or over a protracted period of time; and 18 (5) the individual has taken action to qualify for the ballot under state law. 11 C.F.R. 19 §§ 100.72(b); 100.131(b). 20 Kwasman asserts that he was testing the waters from July 8, 2013 (when he formed an 21 exploratory committee) to October 21 (when he publicly declared his candidacy). The 22 Complaint generally alleges that Kwasman was conducting campaign activity over a "protracted 23 period of time," including referring to himself as a candidate and using public political

MUR 6785 (Kwasman for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 5

- advertising to announce his intention to run. Compl. at 1. The Complaint specifically points to a
- 2 communication titled "CD-1 Primary Voter Guide" that was posted both on the Sonoran
- 3 Alliance, a political blog, and Kwasman's own social media accounts on October 16, 2013.
- 4 Compl., Appendix A. The guide contrasts Kwasman's positions on a number of policy issues
- 5 with those of Andy Tobin, another Arizona Representative running for the Republican
- 6 nomination in Arizona's First Congressional District. The voter guide states "THE CHOICE IS
- 7 CLEAR!" and "Adam Kwasman Congress. Proven Conservative Leadership." Id.
- The record shows that Kwasman was a candidate at least as early as October 16, 2013,
- 9 because the content of the voter guide post refers to Kwasman as a candidate, or at the very least
- publicized his intention to campaign for federal office. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(b); 100.131(b).
- 11 Specifically, the document is titled as a "Primary Voter Guide" for the First Congressional
- 12 District, and contains the campaign slogan "Adam Kwasman Congress Proven Conservative
- 13 Leadership." The "voter guide" also lauds Kwasman's legislative actions and views, and
- criticizes the legislative actions and views of another candidate in the race, concluding that "THE
- 15 CHOICE IS CLEAR!" In short, the content of the advertisement indicates that Kwasman was
- already running for Congressional office. As a result, Kwasman became a candidate at least as

Contrary to the Complaint's assertion, the Commission has determined that four months is not an usually "protracted period of time" that, by itself, supports a conclusion that Kwasman was a candidate before his formal announcement. This four-month period is similar in duration to other matters where the Commission did not conclude that the testing the waters period was a "protracted period of time." See e.g., MUR 4759 (Maloof) (candidate tested the waters for over four months), MUR 5930 (Schuring) (candidate tested the waters for at least four months), and MUR 5934 (Thompson) (candidate tested the waters for over three months).

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- early as October 16,5 when he posted the CD-1 Primary Voter Guide because he had already
- 2 surpassed the \$5,000 contribution threshold for candidate status on October 1, 2013. See
- 3 Kwasman for Congress, Amended 2013 Year-End Report (Feb. 4, 2104).
- Thus, Kwasman was required to file his Statement of Candidacy no later than October 31,
- 5 and the Committee was required to file a Statement of Organization no later than November 11,
- 6 but they were not filed until November 8 (eight days late) and December 9 (28 days late),
- 7 respectively. Further, even if Kwasman did not become a candidate until his public
- 8 announcement on October 21, 2013, Kwasman still filed his Statement of Candidacy four days
- 9 late, and the Committee filed its Statement of Organization 21 days late. Compl. at 2-3.

However, given the short period of time between the date that the statements were due and the date that they were filed, and that the late filing of the Statement of Candidacy and Statement of Organization did not cause the Committee to miss the filing of a scheduled disclosure report, we do not believe that this issue warrants further Commission pursuit. See e.g., MUR 6282 (EPS dismissal where Statement of Candidacy filed more than 30 days late but did not result in the candidate missing the filing of a scheduled report); MUR 6374 (Roly Arrojo for Congress) (EPS dismissal where Statement of Candidacy filed 60 days late and missing disclosure report contained little financial activity); compare MUR 6449 (Bruning) (Commission

Kwasman's publicly available Facebook and Twitter pages similarly refer to Kwasman as a candidate as early as September 19, 2013, when a post shows a picture of a cake decorated with the slogan "Kwasman for Congress" with the caption "Victory will surely be sweet!" (@AdamKwasman, TWITTER (Sept. 19, 2013, 9:43 PM), https://twitter.com/AdamKwasman/status/380915002787377152/photo/1. See 11 C.F.R. § 100.72(b)(3). An October 15, 2013 Twitter post contains a picture of people attending a meeting where Kwasman spoke with the caption "This is why we are going to win the primary and then beat Kirkpatrick. Welcome to Oro Valley on a Tuesday afternoon." (@AdamKwasman, TWITTER (Oct. 15, 2013, 3:08 PM), https://twitter.com/AdamKwasman/status/390237734645673986. Another post on October 17, 2013 states, "Send proven conservatives to Congress" and contains the link to Kwasman's exploratory committee website. (@AdamKwasman, TWITTER (Oct. 17, 2013, 10:06 AM), https://twitter.com/AdamKwasman/status/390886613259411456. The statements, however, were made in close proximity to the distribution of the CD-1 Primary Voter Guide or before Kwasman for Congress reported surpassing the \$5,000 threshold on October 1, and thus do not change our recommendation.

- found reason to believe that committee violated the Act where Statement of Candidacy filed 19
- 2 days late caused committee to miss filing its 2010 Year-End Report with over \$850,000 in
- 3 financial activity). Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this allegation.
- 4 See Heckler, 470 U.S. 821.

## B. 2013 Year-End Report

- The Committee filed its 2013 Year-End Report on February 1, 2013. The Complaint
- 7 alleges that the Committee filed its 2013 Year-End Report one day late. Compl. at 2.
- 8 Respondents assert that filing the 2013 Year-End Report eleven hours late does not warrant
- 9 Commission investigation and can be addressed through the Administrative Fines Program.
- 10 Resp. at 3.

5

- Under the Act, each treasurer of a principal campaign committee for a Congressional
- 12 candidate must, no later than January 31 of the following calendar year, file a Year-End Report
- for the calendar quarter ending December 31. 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(2)(B) (formerly 2 U.S.C.
- 14 § 434(a)(2)(B)). Thus, when the Committee filed its report on February 1, it was one day late.
- 15 Because it was only one day late, however, it does not warrant the use of additional resources to
- 16 pursue this allegation. Thus, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this allegation with
- 17 caution. See Heckler, 470 U.S. 821. As the Committee has ongoing reporting obligations, and
- both the Statement of Candidacy and Statement of Organization were filed late, we recommend
- that the Commission caution the Respondents regarding the timely filing of disclosure reports.

See Statement of Policy Regarding Commission Action in Matters at the Initial Stage in the Enforcement Process, 72 Fed. Reg. 12,545, 12,546 (Mar. 16, 2007) ("dismissal with admonishment" appropriate where complaint "convincingly alleges a violation, but the significance of the violation is not sufficient to warrant further pursuit by the Commission.").

# C. Excessive Contributions

2	The Committee's original 2013 Year-End Report discloses \$10,000 contributions from	
3	three individuals: Alice Baker on November 22, 2013, Dwight Kadar on December 22, 2013, and	
4	Michael Rusing on October 1, 2013. Kwasman for Congress, 2013 Year-End Report at 5, 13, 21	
5	(Feb. 1, 2014). Three days later, the Committee filed an amended 2013 Year-End Report	
6	reducing each of these contributions to \$1,000. Kwasman for Congress, Amended 2013 Year-	
7	End Report at 6, 14, 23 (Feb. 4, 2014). The amended report also discloses a \$1,000	
8	disbursement to Michael Rusing on October 1, 2013, with no purpose listed. Id. at 47. The	
9	committee disclosed no refunds of the \$10,000 contributions.	
10	The Complaint alleges that the Committee violated the Act by accepting the three	
11	\$10,000 excessive contributions. Compl. at 2. The Response contends that the Committee's	
12	acceptance of three unidentified excessive contributions does not warrant Commission	
13	investigation and can be addressed through RAD and further amendments by the Committee.	
14	Resp. at 3.	
15	The Act prohibits candidates from accepting contributions in excess of the Act's	
16	limitations. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(f) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441a(f)). For the 2013-2014 election	
17	cycle, individuals are permitted to contribute a maximum of \$2,600 to a candidate or candidate	
18	committee per election. 52 U.S.C. § 30116(a)(1)(A) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(1)(A)).	
19	Although the Committee's Response does not explain the discrepancy, it appears that the initial	
20	disclosure of the \$10,000 contributions may simply have been a typographical error, as the	
21	amended report reduces all three contributions to permissible amounts without disclosing refund	
22	to the contributors: this conclusion is consistent with the assessment of the contributions by	

4 . \_

- 1 RAD.<sup>8</sup> Given that the alleged excessive contributions appear to be typographical errors that have
- 2 been corrected in the committee's reports, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 3 allegation that the Committee accepted excessive contributions. See Heckler, 470 U.S. 821.

## D. Use of State Campaign Assets

- 5 The Complaint alleges that Kwasman used assets from his state legislative campaign to
- 6 support his congressional campaign. Compl. at 3. In support of its allegation, the Complaint
- 7 attaches the first two photographs in Appendix C, which show the candidate and supporters
- 8 holding signs. These photographs also appear on Kwasman's publicly available Twitter feed. In
- 9 the first photograph, only Kwasman's name is visible on a sign. The photograph is from a post
- on November 2, 2013, that includes the caption "A great night at the Pima County Tea Party.
- 11 Thanks to our volunteers and the amazing outpouring of support! #AZ01." @AdamKwasman,
- 12 TWITTER (Nov. 2, 2013, 8:16 PM), https://twitter.com/AdamKwasman/status/
- 13 396838379288936448. In the second photograph, the signs read "Adam Kwasman State
- 14 Representative." Compl., Appendix C. This photograph is from a post on December 14, 2013,
- that contains the caption, "The best grassroots parade team in #AZ01!" @AdamKwasman,
- 16 TWITTER (Dec. 14, 2013, 10:39 AM), https://twitter.com/AdamKwasman/status/
- 17 411928467219836930/photo/1.
- The Complaint gives no other information about the events or state campaign assets that
- 19 Kwasman's federal campaign may have used. The Response asserts that the Complaint fails to
- 20 identify any events at which Kwasman may have used assets paid for by his state legislative
- 21 campaign committee to support his congressional campaign, and fails to identify where and

RAD explained to the Office of General Counsel that it considers the three contributions at issue, that were originally reported as \$10,000, to be typographical errors resolved by the amended report that changed the amounts to \$1,000.

1 when the photographs referring to Kwasman's service as a state representative were taken. Resp.

2 at 3.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Federal candidates and officeholders, or entities directly or indirectly established,

- financed, maintained or controlled by them, are prohibited from soliciting, receiving, directing,
- 5 transferring, or spending funds that do not comply with the limitations and prohibitions of the
- 6 Act. 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A)). In addition, Commission
- 7 regulations state that transfers of funds or assets from a candidate's campaign account for a non-
- 8 federal election to his or her principal campaign committee for a federal election are prohibited.
- 9 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d).

Based on the available information, the only apparent potential use of state campaign resources was the signs referring to Kwasman's as a state representative, the value of which is likely minimal. Given the lack of information regarding these events and other state campaign assets that may have been used by Kwasman's federal committee, and the likely *de minimis* value of the campaign signs, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the allegation. See Heckler, 470 U.S. 821.

#### E. Disclaimer

Finally, the Complaint alleges that Kwasman and volunteers for the Committee distributed campaign materials that included the disclaimer "Paid for by Kwasman for Congress, Exploratory," a committee not registered with the Commission. Compl. at 3. The Complaint references campaign materials in Appendix C. The third and fourth photographs in Appendix C are federal campaign materials referencing Kwasman's congressional candidacy, but only the

We also reviewed Kwasman for Arizona's disclosure reports and they do not disclose significant contributions or expenditures that would, on their face, raise questions about whether Kwasman used state committee funds for his federal campaign. See http://www.azsos.gov/cfs/FilerDetail.aspx?id=201200127.

- 1 fourth photograph, a sign describing Kwasman's accomplishments and views, contains the
- 2 legible disclaimer "Paid for by Kwasman for Congress, Exploratory." Compl., Appendix C.
- 3 The Response explains that "Kwasman for Congress, Exploratory" was a 527 organization
- 4 organized for Kwasman's testing-the-waters activities and was not required to register with the
- 5 Commission. Resp. at 4.
- 6 Under the Act, all public communications<sup>10</sup> by a political committee and all public
- 7 communications by any person that expressly advocate the election or defeat of a clearly
- 8 identified candidate must contain a disclaimer. 52 U.S.C. § 30120 (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 441d);
- 9 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)(2). A communication that is paid for and authorized by a candidate or
- 10 candidate's committee must state that it is paid for by the authorized committee.
- 11 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(b)(1). A communication that is authorized by a candidate, the candidate's
- authorized committee, or an agent, but is paid for by any other person, must state that the
- communication is paid for by another person and is authorized by such candidate, committee, or
- 14 agent. Id. § 110.11(b)(2).
- The campaign materials at issue, i.e., the signs shown in the third and fourth photographs
- in Appendix C, likely qualify as a form of "general public political advertising," however, it is
- 17 unclear whether Kwasman was a candidate at the time the signs were distributed. Regardless of
- 18 whether Kwasman was a candidate, the materials did contain a partial disclaimer stating that
- 19 Kwasman for Congress, Exploratory —which the Response identifies as a registered 527
- 20 organization paid for the communications. It is also unlikely that the public was misled about

A "public communication" means a communication by means of any broadcast, cable, or satellite communication, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising facility, mass mailing, or telephone bank to the general public, or any other form of general public political advertising. 52 U.S.C. § 30101(22) (formerly 2 U.S.C. § 431(22)); 11 C.F.R. § 100.26.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

MUR 6785 (Kwasman for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 12

- 1 whether the candidate authorized the communication. Accordingly, we recommend that the
- 2 Commission dismiss this allegation. See e.g., MUR 6683 (Fort Bend County Democratic Party)
- 3 (dismissing disclaimer violation where disclaimer was incomplete but contained some
- 4 information identifying the payor); MUR 6633 (Republican Majority Campaign PAC) (same);
- MUR 6438 (Arthur B. Robinson) (same); MUR 6270 (Rand Paul Committee) (same); . 5
- 6 MUR 6278 (Segers) (same); see also Heckler, 470 U.S. 821.

#### III. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Dismiss the allegations that Adam C. Kwasman violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30102(e)(1) and 30125(e), (f) and issue a letter of caution;
- 2. Dismiss the allegations that Kwasman for Congress and Bret Summers in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30103(a) and 30104(a)(2)(B) and issue a letter of caution;
- 3. Dismiss the allegations that Kwasman for Congress and Bret Summers in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(f), 30120, and 30125(e)(1)(A);
- 4. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis;
- 5. Approve the appropriate letters; and
- 6. Close the file.

9-8-14 Date

Kathleen M. Guith

Deputy Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

William A. Powers

Assistant General Counsel

Kasey S. Morgenheim

Attorney

33 34 35

32

36

37 38